

# Printout

Friday, March 24, 2023 2:57 PM

MANE 6313

## Section 1

MANE 6313

1 / 12

## Subsection 1

Week 11, Module C

## Student Learning Outcome

- Select an appropriate experimental design with one or more factors,
- Select an appropriate model with one or more factors,
- Evaluate statistical analyses of experimental designs,
- Assess the model adequacy of any experimental design, and
- Interpret model results.

## Module Learning Outcome

*Appraise minimum aberration designs.*

## Minimum Aberration Designs

- Concept introduced by Fries and Hunter in 1980
- “The purpose of this paper is to provide a method for selecting a best subset of designs from the set of  $2^{(k-p)}$  fractional factorial designs of highest resolution”
- Minimum aberration is used as measure for best designs
- Fries and Hunter define a design to be a minimum aberration design if “...the design which minimizes the number of words in the defining relation that are of minimum length.”

## Fries and Hunter Example

602

ARTHUR FRIES AND WILLIAM G. HUNTER

TABLE 1—*Three choices for a  $2^{7-2}$  fractional factorial design for seven variables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 in 32 runs. Entries underlined with a tilde (~) are to be regarded as boldfaced characters.*

Design	(a)	(b)	(c)
Generators	$\tilde{6}=123, \tilde{7}=234$	$\tilde{6}=123, \tilde{7}=145$	$\tilde{6}=1234, \tilde{7}=1235$
Defining relation	$\tilde{I}=1236=2347=1467$	$\tilde{I}=1236=1457=234567$	$\tilde{I}=12346=12357=4567$
Strings of aliased two-factor interactions (assuming three-factor and higher-order interactions are negligible)	$\underline{12+36}$ $\underline{13+26}$ $\underline{14+67}$ $\underline{17+46}$ $\underline{24+37}$ $\underline{27+34}$ $\underline{16+23+47}$	$\underline{12+36}$ $\underline{13+26}$ $\underline{14+57}$ $\underline{15+47}$ $\underline{16+23}$ $\underline{17+45}$	$\underline{45+67}$ $\underline{46+57}$ $\underline{47+56}$

Figure 1: Minimum Aberration Design Example

## FrF2

- FrF2 provides minimum aberration (ma) designs
- FrF2 also considers word length pattern (wlp) and clear 2 factor interactions (2fis)

	number of runs									
	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024	2048	4096
3	full									
4	IV	full								
5	III	V	full							
6	III	IV	VI	full						
7	III	IV	IV	VII	full					
8		IV	IV	V	VIII	full				
9		III	IV	IV	VI	IX	full			
10		III	IV	IV	V	VI	X	full		
11		III	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	XI	full	
12		III	IV	IV	IV	VI	VI	VIII	XII	full
13		III	IV	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	XIII
14		III	IV	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
15		III	IV	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	VIII
16			IV	IV	IV	V	VI	VI	VIII	VIII
17				III	IV	V	VI	VI	VII	VIII
18				III	IV	IV	VI	VI	VII	VIII
19				III	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
20				III	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
21				III	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
22				III	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
23				III	IV	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
24				III	IV	IV	IV	VI	VI	VIII

Design Info, part 1

```
66 library(FrF2)
67 design.info(FrF2(nruns=32,nfactors=9))
68 ```

$type
[1] "FrF2"

$nruns
[1] 32

$nfactors
[1] 9

$factor.names
$factor.names$A
[1] -1 1

$factor.names$B
[1] -1 1

$factor.names$C
[1] -1 1

$factor.names$D
[1] -1 1

$factor.names$E
[1] -1 1

$factor.names$F
[1] -1 1

$factor.names$G
[1] -1 1

$factor.names$H
[1] -1 1
```

$$2^9 = \cancel{1024} 512$$

2<sup>9-4</sup>

$$\begin{array}{r}
 32 \\
 | \\
 16 \\
 | \\
 8 \\
 | \\
 4 \\
 | \\
 2
 \end{array}
 \rightarrow
 \begin{array}{r}
 256 \\
 \rightarrow \\
 128 \\
 \rightarrow \\
 64 \\
 \rightarrow \\
 32
 \end{array}$$

## Design Info, part 2

```
$catlg.name
[1] "catlg"

$catlg.entry
Design: 9-4.1
  32 runs, 9 factors,
  Resolution IV
  Generating columns: 7 11 19 29
  WLP (3plus): 0 6 8 0 0 , 8 clear 2fis
  Factors with all 2fis clear: J

$aliased
$aliased$legend
[1] "A=A" "B=B" "C=C" "D=D" "E=E" "F=F" "G=G" "H=H" "J=J"

$aliased$main
character(0)

$aliased$fi2
[1] "AB=CF=DG=EH" "AC=BF"
[9] "CE=FH"         "CG=DF"           "AD=BG"           "AE=BH"           "AF=BC"
                           "CH=EF"           "DE=GH"           "AG=BD"           "DH=EG"
                           "AH=BE"           "CD=FG"

$FrF2.version
[1] "2.2-3"

$replications
[1] 1

$repeat.only
[1] FALSE

$randomize
[1] TRUE

$seed
NULL
```

$\frac{1}{2} = 36$  2-factor interactions  
 8 clear 2fis  
 AJ - clear

## Generators

- List effects in standard order and match generating columns from catalog entry

$2^{9-4}$  → Full factorial in 5 factors (A, B, C, D, E),  
generate 4 columns (F, G, H, J) [Note I is skipped]

Generating columns: 7, 11, 19, 29

Column #	effect	Column #	effect
1	A	23	ABCE
2	B	24	DE
3	AB	25	ADE
4	C	26	BDE
5	AC	27	ABDE
6	BC	28	CDE
7	ABC	29	ACDE
8	D	:	:
9	AD		
10	BD		
11	ABD		
12	CD		
13	ACD		
14	BCD		
15	ABCD		
16	E		
17	AE		
18	BE		

$F = ABC$  or  
 $I = ABCF$  ←  
 $G = AB^D$  or  
 $T = AB^D$

Column 19 ABCE

H = ABE  
 or  
 $\Sigma = ABEH$

J = ACDE or  
 $\Sigma = ACDES$

## Generators

1<sup>st</sup> Generator (factor F) from column 7

$$F = ABC \rightarrow f = ABCF$$

2<sup>nd</sup> Generator (factor G) from column 11

$$G = ABD$$

3<sup>rd</sup> Generator (factor H) from column 19

$$H = ABE$$

4<sup>th</sup> Generator (factor J) from column 29

$$J = ACDE$$

## Generators from FrF2

```
70 <-- {r}
71 library(FrF2) 32,9
72 maDesign <- FrF2(design = "9-4.1", randomize=FALSE)
73 summary(maDesign)
74 <--
```

R Console /

data.frame  
2 x 9

data.frame  
32 x 9

Call:  
FrF2(design = "9-4.1", randomize = FALSE)

Experimental design of type FrF2  
32 runs

Factor settings (scale ends):

Design generating information:

\$legend  
[1] A=A B=B C=C D=D E=E F=F G=G H=H J=J

\$generators

[1] F=ABC G=ABD H=ABE J=ACDE

Alias structure:

\$fi2  
[1] AB=CF=DG=EH AC=BF AD=BG AE=BH AF=BC AG=BD AH=BE CD=FG  
CE=FH  
[10] CG=DF CH=EF DE=GH DH=EG